# UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT DISTRICT OF DELAWARE

TELCORDIA TECH	HNOLOGIES, INC.,	)
	Plaintiff and Counterclaim Defendant,	)
v.		) C.A. No. 04-874-GMS
ALCATEL USA, IN	NC.,	)
	Defendant and Counterclaim Plaintiff.	) ) )
TELCORDIA TECHNOLOGIES, INC.,		)
v.	Plaintiff and Counterclaim Defendant,	) ) ) C. A. No. 04-875-GMS
LUCENT TECHNO	DLOGIES, INC.,	)
	Defendant and Counterclaim Plaintiff.	) ) )
TELCORDIA TECH	HNOLOGIES, INC.,	
v.	Plaintiff and Counterclaim Defendant,	) ) ) C. A. No. 04-876-GMS
CISCO SYSTEMS,	INC.,	)
	Defendant and Counterclaim Plaintiff.	) ) )

# STIPULATION AND ORDER CONCERNING DEPOSITION COUNTING AND USE

Plaintiff Telcordia Technologies, Inc. and Defendants Alcatel USA, Inc., Lucent Technologies, Inc., and Cisco Systems, Inc., by and through their respective counsel of record, hereby stipulate as follows:

- 1. For Rule 30(b)(6) depositions, each 7-hour period of actual deposition time will count as one deposition, regardless of the number of witnesses designated for that notice. Parts of a 7-hour period will be counted on a fractional basis and any cross-examination will not count against the time of the party taking the Rule 30(b)(6) deposition.
- 2. Non-Rule 30(b)(6) depositions of witnesses will be limited to one 7-hour period of actual deposition time per witness per party. This limit is subject to accommodations for translation of non-English depositions.
- 3. Depositions taken in any one of the three parallel actions will, for admissibility purposes, be treated as having been taken from the other two cases as well, and subject to the same evidentiary rules as if the deposition had been taken in the other two cases, if the party against whom the testimony is to be admitted was present at the deposition or, if: (1) the deposition is of a named inventor for any of the patents-in-suit or (2) the deposition is of a third-party to the three parallel actions (other than a deposition of a current or former employee of any of the parties who is being questioned about activities while employed by any of the parties), so long as the party against whom the deposition is being used had a reasonable opportunity to attend the deposition. This stipulation is not a representation by any party that such depositions would not otherwise be admissible.
- 4. Unless the parties agree otherwise on a deposition-by-deposition basis, any deposition noticed and taken in any one of the three parallel actions will, for counting purposes, be treated as having been taken only in the case in which it is noticed and taken. The other parties are, however, invited to attend and ask questions, subject to the 7 hour limit of the noticing side.
- 5. The parties agree that fifteen (15) depositions may be taken by Telcordia and fifteen (15) depositions may be taken by the defendant in each of the three parallel actions, with the number of depositions being counted in accordance with the provisions set forth above.
- 6. For good cause shown the deposition limits set forth in this stipulation may be adjusted by the Court as appropriate. This stipulation is not a representation by any party

that these limits necessarily will reflect the right amount of deposition discovery warranted in any of the actions.

#### **ASHBY & GEDDES**

### /s/ John G. Day

Steven J. Balick (I.D. #2114) John G. Day (I.D. #2403) 222 Delaware Avenue 17<sup>th</sup> Floor P.O. Box 1150 Wilmington, DE 19899 Telephone: (302) 654-1888 sbalick@ashby-geddes.com iday@ashby-geddes.com

#### Of Counsel:

Donald R. Dunner Steven M. Anzalone Don O. Burley Richard H. Smith Houtan K. Esfahani John M. Williamson FINNEGAN, HENDERSON, FARABOW, GARRETT One Broadway & DUNNER, L.L.P. 901 New York Ave., N.W. Washington, D.C. 20001 Telephone: (202) 408-4000

Attorneys for Plaintiff / Counterclaim Defendant Telcordia Technologies, Inc.

### YOUNG CONAWAY STARGATT & TAYLOR, LLP

### /s/Josy W. Ingersoll

Josy W. Ingersoll (I.D. #1088) Adam W. Poff (I.D. #3990) Andrew A. Lundgren (I.D. #4429) The Brandywine Building 1000 West Street Wilmington, DE 19801 Telephone: (302) 571-6600 Facsimile: (302) 576-3334 jingersoll@ycst.com apoff@ycst.com alundgren@ycst.com

#### Of Counsel:

Stuart J. Sinder Mark A. Hannemann Michelle Carniaux Clement J. Naples KENYON & KENYON New York, NY 10004 Telephone: (212) 425-7200 Facsimile: (212) 425-5288

Attorneys for Defendant Alcatel S.A. and Alcatel USA, Inc. in C.A. No. 04-874-GMS YOUNG CONAWAY STARGATT & TAYLOR, LLP MORRIS, NICHOLS, ARSHT & TUNNELL LLP

/s/ John W. Shaw

John W. Shaw (I.D. #3362) Karen E. Keller (I.D. #4489) Monte T. Squire (I.D. #4764) The Brandywine Building 1000 West Street Wilmington, DE 19801 Telephone: (302) 571-6600 Facsimile: (302) 576-3334 jshaw@ycst.com kkeller@ycst.com

#### Of Counsel:

msquire@ycst.com

Steven C. Cherny LATHAM & WATKINS LLP 885 Third Avenue, Suite 1000 New York, NY 10022 Telephone: (212) 906-1200 Facsimile: (212) 571-4864

David A. Nelson Israel Sasha Mayergoyz. Neilish R. Patel David C. McKone LATHAM & WATKINS LLP Sears Tower, Suite 5800 Chicago, IL 60606 Telephone: (312) 876-7700

Facsimile: (312) 876-7700 Facsimile: (312) 993-9767

Inc. in C.A. No. 04-875-GMS

Attorneys for Defendant Lucent Technologies

SO ORDERED this \_\_\_\_ day of May, 2006.

UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE

169065.1

/s/ Jack B. Blumenfeld

Jack B. Blumenfeld (I.D. #1014) Leslie A. Polizoti (I.D. #4299) 1201 North Market Street Wilmington, DE 19899-1347 (302) 658-9200 jblumenfeld@mnat.com lpolizoti@mnat.com

## Of Counsel:

Matthew D. Powers
Edward R. Reines
Jessica L. Davis
Sonal N. Mehta
Thomas B. King
WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP
201 Redwood Shores Parkway
Redwood Shores, CA 94065
Telephone: (650) 802-3000

Ryan Owens WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP 767 Fifth Avenue New York, NY 10153 Telephone: (212) 310-8000

Attorneys for Defendant / Counterclaim Plaintiff Cisco Systems, Inc. in C.A. No. 04-876-GMS